



# Einführung in die Programmierung Introduction to Programming

Prof. Dr. Bertrand Meyer

Exercise Session 11



- Mock exam in one week (December 6<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>)
  - You have to be present
  - The week after (last exercise session) we will discuss the results



- Basic Data-structures
  - Arrays
  - Linked Lists
  - Hashtables
- Tuples
- Agents
- Agents and Data-structures



An array is a very fundamental data-structure, which is very close to how your computer organizes its memory. An array is characterized by:

- Constant time for random reads
- Constant time for random writes
- Costly to resize (including inserting elements in the middle of the array)
- Must be indexed by an integer
- Generally very space efficient

In Eiffel the basic array class is generic, *ARRAY [G]*.

Which of the following lines are valid?  
Which can fail, and why?

➤ `my_array : ARRAY [STRING]`

Valid, can't fail

➤ `my_array ["Fred"] := "Sam"`

Invalid

➤ `my_array [10] + "s Hat"`

Valid, can fail

➤ `my_array [5] := "Ed"`

Valid, can fail

➤ `my_array.force ("Constantine", 9)`

Valid, can't fail

Which is not a constant-time array operation?

# Linked Lists



- Linked lists are one of the simplest data-structures
- They consist of linkable cells

```
class LINKABLE[G]
```

```
  create
```

```
    set_value
```

```
  feature
```

```
    set_value(v: G)
```

```
      do
```

```
        value := v
```

```
      end
```

```
  value: G
```

```
  set_next(n: LINKABLE[G])
```

```
    do
```

```
      next := n
```

```
    end
```

```
  next: LINKABLE[G]
```

```
end
```

Supposing you keep a reference to only the head of the linked list, what is the running time (using big  $O$  notation) to:

- Insert at the beginning
- Insert in the middle
- Insert at the end
- Find the length of the list

$O(1)$

$O(n)$

$O(n)$

$O(n)$

What simple optimization could be made to make end-access faster?



Hashtables provide a way to use regular objects as keys (sort of like how we use **INTEGER** "keys" in arrays). This is essentially a trade-off:

- we have to provide a *hashing function* 😞
- hashing function should be good (minimize collision) 😞
- our hashtable will always take up more space than it needs to 😞



Hashtables aren't all that bad though, they provide us with a great solution: they can store and retrieve objects quickly by key! This is a *very* common operation.

For each list define, what the key and values could be:

- A telephone book                      Name → Telephone Number
- The index of a book                      Concept → Page
- Google search                              Search String → Websites

Would you use a hashtable or an array for storing the pages of a book?

➤ A tuple of type  $TUPLE[A, B, C]$  is a sequence of **at least** three values, first of type  $A$ , second of type  $B$ , third of type  $C$ .

➤ In this case possible tuple values that conform are:

➤  $[a, b, c], [a, b, c, x], \dots$

where  $a$  is of type  $A$ ,  $b$  of type  $B$ ,  $c$  of type  $C$  and  $x$  of some type  $X$

➤ Tuple types (for any types  $A, B, C, \dots$ ):

$TUPLE$

$TUPLE[A]$

$TUPLE[A, B]$

$TUPLE[A, B, C]$

...

# Labeled Tuples

---



- Tuples may be declared with labeled arguments:

*tuple: TUPLE [food: STRING; quantity: INTEGER]*

- Same as an unlabeled tuple:

*TUPLE [STRING, INTEGER]*

but provides easier (and safer!) access to its elements:

May use


*io.print (tuple.food)*

instead of

*io.print (tuple.item(1))*

# Tuple Inheritance

---



# Tuple conformance



```
tuple_conformance
```

```
  local
```

```
    t0: TUPLE
```

```
    t2: TUPLE [INTEGER, INTEGER]
```

```
  do
```

```
    create t2
```

```
    t2 := [10, 20]
```

```
    t0 := t2
```

```
    print (t0.item (1).out + "%N")
```

```
    print (t0.item (3).out)
```

```
  end
```

Not necessary in this case

Implicit creation

Runtime error, but will compile

# What are agents in Eiffel?


---



- Objects that represent operations
- Can be seen as operation wrappers
- Similar to
  - delegates in C#
  - anonymous inner classes in Java < 7
  - closures in Java 7
  - function pointers in C
  - functors in C++

- Every agent has an associated routine, which the agent wraps and is able to invoke
- To get an agent, use the **agent** keyword  
e.g. `an_agent := agent my_routine`
- This is called **agent definition**
- What's the type of `an_agent`?

# EiffelBase classes representing agents





# Agent Type Declarations

---



*p: PROCEDURE[ANY, TUPLE]*

Agent representing a procedure belonging to a class that conforms to *ANY*. At least 0 open arguments

*q: PROCEDURE[C, TUPLE[X, Y, Z]]*

Agent representing a procedure belonging to a class that conforms to *C*. At least 3 open arguments

*f: FUNCTION[ANY, TUPLE[X, Y], RES]*

Agent representing a function belonging to a class that conforms to *ANY*. At least 2 open arguments, result of type *RES*

# Open and closed agent arguments



- An agent can have both “closed” and “open” arguments:
  - **closed arguments** are set at agent definition time
  - **open arguments** are set at agent call time.
- To keep an argument open, replace it by a question mark

$u := \text{agent } a0.f(a1, a2, a3) \text{ -- All closed}$

$w := \text{agent } a0.f(a1, a2, ?)$

$x := \text{agent } a0.f(a1, ?, a3)$

$y := \text{agent } a0.f(a1, ?, ?)$

$z := \text{agent } a0.f(?, ?, ?) \text{ -- All open}$

# Agent Calls



An agent invokes its routine using the feature "call"

$f(x1: T1; x2: T2; x3: T3)$   
-- defined in class  $C$  with  
--  $a0: C; a1: T1; a2: T2; a3: T3$

$u := \text{agent } a0.f(a1, a2, a3)$

$v := \text{agent } a0.f(a1, a2, ?)$

$w := \text{agent } a0.f(a1, ?, a3)$

$x := \text{agent } a0.f(a1, ?, ?)$

$y := \text{agent } a0.f(?, ?, ?)$

PROCEDURE [C, TUPLE]

PROCEDURE [C, TUPLE [T3]]

PROCEDURE [C, TUPLE [T2]]

PROCEDURE [C, TUPLE [T2, T3]]

PROCEDURE [C, TUPLE [T1, T2, T3]]

What are the types of the agents?

# Doing something to a list

Hands-On

Given a simple `ARRAY [G]` class, with only the features ``count`` and ``at``, implement a feature which will take an agent and perform it on every element of the array.

```
do_all (do_this: PROCEDURE[ANY, TUPLE[G]])  
  local  
    i: INTEGER  
  do  
    from  
      i := 1  
    until  
      i > count  
    loop  
      do_this.call ([at (i)])  
      i := i + 1  
    end  
  end  
end
```

# For-all quantifiers over lists

Hands-On

```
for_all (pred: PREDICATE [ANY, TUPLE[G]])  
  local  
    i: INTEGER  
  do  
    Result := True  
  from  
    i := 1  
  until  
    i > count or not Result  
  loop  
    Result := pred.item ([at (i)])  
    i := i + 1  
  end  
end
```

# Using inline agents



We can also define our agents as-we-go!

Applying this to the previous `for_all` function we made, we can do:

```
for_all_ex (int_array : ARRAY [INTEGER]): BOOLEAN
  local
    greater_five: PREDICATE [ANY, TUPLE [INTEGER]]
  do
    greater_five := agent (i : INTEGER) : BOOLEAN
      do
        Result := i > 5
      end
    Result := int_array.for_all (greater_five)
  end
```

# Problems with Agents/Tuples



We have already seen that `TUPLE [A,B]` conforms to `TUPLE [A]`. This raises a problem, consider the definition:

```
f (proc : PROCEDURE [ANY, TUPLE[INTEGER]])  
  do  
    proc.call ([5])  
  end
```

Are we allowed to call this on something of type `PROCEDURE [ANY, TUPLE[INTEGER,INTEGER]]` ?

Yes! Oh no... that procedure needs at least TWO arguments!