# A CSP Model for Java Multithreading

Paper by Peter H. Welch and Jeremy M.R. Martin

## Why Java needs a CSP model

- Many Java programs using threads seem to work correctly, but
  - Data races might be hidden
  - Deadlocks might not yet be detected
- How can we be sure that data races and deadlocks in fact cannot occur?

## Why Java needs a CSP model

- Solution: Model Java's synchronization facility in CSP
  - Allows us to reason in a formal way about its correct usage in Java programs
- Synchronization primitives of Java's client interface that are modelled:
  - synchronized
  - wait(), notify(), notifyAll()

- We model **synchronized** by the following CSP processes [1]:
  - STARTSYNC( o, me) = claim.o.me → SKIP
  - ENDSYNC(o, me) = release.o.me → SKIP

- wait(), notify(), notifyAll() are modelled by the following CSP processes [1]:
  - WAIT(o, me) = waita.o.me → release.o.me → waitb.o.me → claim.o.me → SKIP
  - NOTIFY( o, me) = notify.o.me → SKIP
  - NOTIFYALL( o, me) = notifyAll.o.me → SKIP

- Java's internal monitor facility for an object o is modelled by [1]:
  - MONITOR( o) = MLOCK( o) | | MWAIT( o, {})
  - MLOCK( o) = claim.o?t → MLOCKED( o, t)
  - $MLOCKED(o, t) = release.o.t \rightarrow MLOCK(o)$ 
    - $\square$  notify.o.t  $\rightarrow$  **MLOCKED**(0, t)
    - $\square$  notifyall.o.t  $\rightarrow$  MLOCKED(o, t)
    - $\square$  waita.o.t  $\rightarrow$  MLOCKED( o, t)

```
MWAIT (using RELEASE) is defined as follows [1]:

    MWAIT( o, ws) = (waita.o?t → MWAIT( o, ws U {t}))

                     \square (notify.o?t \rightarrow if (|ws| > 0) then
                          \square waitb.o!s \rightarrow MWAIT( o, ws - {s})
                       s \in ws
                         else
                            MWAIT( o, {}))
                     \square (notifyall.o?t \rightarrow RELEASE( o, ws))

    RELEASE( o, ws) = if (|ws| > 0) then

                             | | waitb.o!t \rightarrow RELEASE( o, ws – {t})
                          t \in ws
                            else
                                  MWAIT( o, {})
```

- So far we have set up our CSP model of Java's synchronization facility
- Let's apply our CSP model to an example: The One2OneChannel of JCSP

- Allows exactly two threads to communicate with each other
- Communication complies with rendez-vous pattern
  - Reading thread and writing thread meet at some point in time
- Internal attributes:
  - Object channel\_hold: Data being transmitted via the channel
  - boolean channel\_empty: Indicates whether channel is empty
- Methods:
  - public Object synchronized read()
  - public synchronized void write(Object mess)

Variables (restricted to boolean values) are managed by the
 VARIABLE process as follows <sup>[1]</sup>:

```
• VARIABLE( o, v) = VAR2( o, v, TRUE)
```

VARIABLES( o) = VARIABLE( o, channel\_empty)
 | VARIABLE( o, channel hold)

### Java Code

public synchronized Object read()
throws InterruptedException{
 if (channel\_empty) {
 channel\_empty = false;
 wait();
 notify();
}else{
 channel\_empty = true;
 notify();
}

return channel\_hold;

#### **CSP Model**

Java code and CSP model as in [1]

## Case Study

### CSP model of One2OneChannel

#### Java Code

```
public synchronized Object read()
throws InterruptedException{
 if (channel_empty) {
  channel_empty = false;
  wait();
  notify();
 }else{
  channel_empty = true;
  notify();
 return channel_hold;
```

```
READ( o, t) = ready.o.t \rightarrow claim.o.t \rightarrow release.o.t \rightarrow READ( o, t)
```

#### **Java Code**

```
public synchronized Object read()
throws InterruptedException{
 if (channel_empty) {
  channel_empty = false;
  wait();
  notify();
 }else{
  channel_empty = true;
  notify();
 return channel_hold;
```

```
READ( o, t) =
  ready.o.t → claim.o.t →
  getvar.o.channel_empty.t?c → (
  if (c = TRUE) then
  else
  ); → release.o.t → READ( o, t)
```

## Case Study

### CSP model of One2OneChannel

#### Java Code

```
public synchronized Object read()
throws InterruptedException{
 if (channel_empty) {
  channel_empty = false;
  wait();
  notify();
 }else{
  channel_empty = true;
  notify();
 return channel_hold;
```

```
READ( o, t) =
  ready.o.t → claim.o.t →
  getvar.o.channel_empty.t?c → (
  if (c = TRUE) then
      setvar.o.channel_empty.t!FALSE
  else
      setvar.o.channel_empty.t!TRUE
  ); getvar.o.channel_hold.t?mess →
  release.o.t → read.o.t!mess →
  READ( o, t)
```

## Case Study

### CSP model of One2OneChannel

#### Java Code

```
public synchronized Object read()
throws InterruptedException{
 if (channel_empty) {
  channel_empty = false;
  wait();
  notify();
 }else{
  channel_empty = true;
  notify();
 return channel_hold;
```

```
READ( o, t) =
  ready.o.t → claim.o.t →
  getvar.o.channel_empty.t?c → (
  if (c = TRUE) then
      setvar.o.channel_empty.t!FALSE →
      WAIT( o, t); NOTIFY( o, t)
  else
      setvar.o.channel_empty.t!TRUE →
      NOTIFY( o, t)
  ); getvar.o.channel_hold.t?mess →
  release.o.t → read.o.t!mess →
  READ( o, t)
```

### Java Code

```
public synchronized Object read()
throws InterruptedException{
 if (channel_empty) {
  channel_empty = false;
  wait();
  notify();
 }else{
  channel_empty = true;
  notify();
 return channel_hold;
```

```
READ( o, t) =
  ready.o.t → claim.o.t →
  getvar.o.channel_empty.t?c → (
  if (c = TRUE) then
      setvar.o.channel_empty.t!FALSE →
      WAIT( o, t); NOTIFY( o, t)
  else
      setvar.o.channel_empty.t!TRUE →
      NOTIFY( o, t)
  ); getvar.o.channel_hold.t?mess →
  release.o.t → read.o.t!mess →
  READ( o, t)
```

- CSP model of write method similar to that of read method
- The final JCSP One2OneChannel is [1]:
  - JCSPCHANNEL( o, t<sub>1</sub>, t<sub>2</sub>) = READ( o, t<sub>1</sub>) || WRITE( o, t<sub>2</sub>) ||

    MONITOR( o) || VARIABLES( o)
- It is still possible that a thread, for which the channel is not destinated, tries to access the channel

## Case Study Simplified Model of One2OneChannel

- The previous model of the One2OneChannel is simplified such that monitors are no longer needed
- The simplified model represents the One2OneChannel by two processes
  - LEFT process: Models ending to which input is written
  - RIGHT process: Models ending from which output is read

## Case Study Simplified Model of One2OneChannel

- The simplified channel is defined as follows [1]:
  - CHANNEL( o,  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$ ) = (LEFT( o,  $t_2$ ) || RIGHT( o,  $t_1$ )) \{transmit.o.m | m  $\subseteq$  Data}
  - **LEFT**( o,  $t_1$ ) = write.o. $t_1$ ?mess  $\rightarrow$  transmit.o!mess  $\rightarrow$  ack.o. $t_1 \rightarrow$  **LEFT**( o,  $t_1$ )
  - RIGHT( o,  $t_2$ ) = ready.o. $t_2 \rightarrow$  transmit.o?mess  $\rightarrow$  read.o. $t_2$ !mess  $\rightarrow$  RIGHT( o,  $t_2$ )

## Case Study Simplified Model of One2OneChannel

- Equivalence of the simplified model and the original model was verified using FDR
- From now on, we can therefore rely on the simplified model instead of the more complex model of the One2OneChannel
  - Makes reasoning about it easier

### Conclusion

- CSP model of Java's synchronization facility can be incorporated to build CSP models of Java programs
- Reasoning about absence of deadlocks as well as data races possible using the CSP model
- Verifying equivalence of complex to simplified models possible

### References

[1] Peter H. Welch and Jeremy M. R. Martin. 2000. A CSP Model for Java Multithreading. *International Symposium on Software Engineering for Parallel and Distributed Systems (PDSE)*. 114-122.

Questions?